

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,113	06/26/2003	James Joseph Fisher	038819.52556US 3703		
23911 75	23911 7590 03/09/2005			EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			A, MINH D		
P.O. BOX 1430		Or .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		2821		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/606,113	FISHER ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Minh D A	2821		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regular to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustic to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustic to reply will, by statustic to the mailing of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date than three months after the mailing of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication.	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 l	December 2004.			
2a)□		is action is non-final.			
3)□					
Disposit	ion of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted of the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

2. Regarding independent claims 1,14-15, the phrase " at least first and second substantially planar Vivadi antenna elements having active portions for receiving or radiating signals from a direction forward of the antenna" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention, which an element or device for action portion can either receiving or radiating signal. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) with the best understood as being unpatentable by Gibson et al (EP 0 349 069 A1).

on out an individual control of the

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claims 1, 14-15, Gibson discloses a dual polarized phased array antenna comprising at least first and second substantially planar Vivadi antenna elements (17) having active portions for radiating signals from a direction forward of the antenna (17), the antenna elements (17) having mutually intersecting planes and having phase centers (16) of the active portions substantially co-located, and respective antenna element feeds (20) coupled to respective antenna elements (17) at a position to the rear of the active portions and displaced from an axis extending through the phase centers (16) and the intersection of the planes of the antennas(17). See figures 1-4, col.1, lines 39-57 to col.6, lines 1-42.

Regarding claim 2, Gibson discloses wherein the antenna element (17) includes an antenna feedline (20) connected to the antenna element feeds at the position displaced from the axis. See figures 3-4.

Regarding claim 3, Gibson discloses wherein the antenna feedline crosses the axis. See figures 3-4.

Regarding claim 5, Gibson discloses wherein the element comprises a feed flare and an end flare. See figures 2-4.

Regarding claim 6, Gibson discloses wherein the element includes a substantially constant slot section disposed between the feed flare and the end flare. See figures 2-4.

Regarding claim 7, Gibson discloses the feedline includes a parallel section substantially parallel to the axis. See figures 2-4.

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 8, Gibson discloses wherein the parallel section of the first element has substantially the same length as the constant slot section of the other element. See figures 3a-4.

Regarding claim 9, Gibson discloses wherein the locus of effective phase centers (16) of the elements are co-located. See figures 2-4.

Regarding claim 10, Gibson discloses wherein the antenna elements (17) are joined by mutually engaging formations. See figures 2-4.

Regarding claim 11, Gibson discloses wherein the mutually engaging formations include slots (14) made in the elements. See figures 2-4.

Regarding claim 12, Gibson discloses wherein the elements (17) are formed by Substrates (print circuit board) and metallised layers (15). See figures 2-4.

Regarding claim 13, Gibson discloses wherein the first and the second elements (17) have substantially matching end flares. See figures 2-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) with he best understood as being unpatentable over by Gibson et al (EP 0 349 069 A1).

off, control Harrison: Toroco, I

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 4, Gibson discloses the claimed invention except for twin-line section. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to employ twin-line section, since applicant has not disclosed that the twin-line section solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with twin-line section.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huynh et al (US 5,896,107) and Johannisson et al. (US 6,531,984) are cited to show an antenna.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Minh A whose telephone number is (571) 272-1817. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30 –2:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1553.

Art Unit: 2821

Examiner

Minh A

Art unit 2821

3/2/05

TUYETVO RIMARY EXAMINER